# IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA GAUTENG DIVISION, PRETORIA

CASE NO: 28240/22

In the application of:

VISVANATHAN PILLAY Applicant

and

THE PUBLIC PROTECTOR First Respondent

THE MINISTER OF FINANCE Second Respondent

THE AUDITOR GENERAL OF SOUTH AFRICA

Third Respondent

THE NATIONAL HEAD OF THE DIRECTORATE OF

PRIORITY CRIME INVESTIGATION Fourth Respondent

THE DIRECTOR GENERAL OF NATIONAL TREASURY Fifth Respondent

THE COMMISSIONER OF THE SOUTH AFRICAN

REVENUE SERVICE Sixth Respondent

PRAVIN JAMNADAS GORDHAN Seventh Respondent

GEORGE NGAKANE VIRGIL MAGASHULA Eighth Respondent

THOMAS SWAHIBI MOYANE Ninth Respondent

EDWARD KIESWETTER Tenth Respondent

BARRY HORE Eleventh Respondent

\_\_\_\_\_\_

#### ORDER OF COURT BY CONSENT

Having read the papers filed of record, and having heard counsel for the applicant, an order in the following terms is granted by consent between the applicant and the first respondent:

- The first respondent's Report No 04 of 2022/23, dated 22 April 2022 ("the Report") is reviewed, declared unlawful and set aside in each instance where it relates to the applicant;
- The remedial action directed by the first respondent in paragraph 8 of the Report is reviewed, declared unlawful and set aside to the extent that it relates to the applicant;
- It is specifically declared that the first respondent's conduct in failing to provide the applicant with:
- 3.1 any notice whatsoever of the investigation;
- any of the rights to which he is entitled to in terms of section 7(9) of the Public Protector Act, 23 of 1994; and
- 3.3 any right to be heard on the remedial action that the first respondent contemplated granting against him,
  - is in breach of the applicant's Constitutional rights;
- 4 The first respondent is ordered to pay the costs of this application on the unopposed scale.

### BY THE COURT

### **REGISTRAR**

## **WERKSMANS ATTORNEYS**

Attorneys for the applicant
C/O BRAZINGTON & McCONNELL

## THE PUBLIC PROTECTOR OF SOUTH AFRICA

First respondent